1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	NICHOLAS A. TRUTANICH United States Attorney Robert Knief Assistant United States Attorney 501 Las Vegas Boulevard South, Suite 1100 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Tel.: (702) 388-6336 Robert.Knief@usdoj.gov Attorneys for the Plaintiff LAW OFFICE OF TELIA U. WILLIAMS Telia U. Williams, Esq. 10161 Park Run Drive, Suite 150 Las Vegas, Nevada 89145 Tel.: (702) 835-6866 telia@telialaw.com Attorney for the Defendant, Kary Watson		
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
12	DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
13			
14	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Case No. 2:17-cr-00124-JAD-EJY	
15		STIPULATION AND ORDER TO	
16	Plaintiff,	CONTINUE SENTENCING	
17	VS.	(SECOND DEOLIEST)	
18	KARY WATSON,	(SECOND REQUEST)	
19	Defendant.		
20			
21	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between Telia U. Williams, Esq.,		
22	counsel for the defendant, Kary Watson, and Robert Knief, Esq., Assistant United States		
23	Attorney, counsel for the Government, that the Sentencing currently scheduled for December 3,		
24	2019 at 10:00am, be vacated and reset to a time no sooner that 60 days from December 3, 2019.		
25	This Stipulation is entered into for the following reasons:		
26	1. The defendant, Kary Watson, has revealed to counsel that he believes his guilty		
27	plea was not altogether knowing or voluntarily; and, he has decided that he would		
28	like to withdraw his guilty plea. I	He is therefore, toward this end, in consultation	

1	with counsel, preparing to seek appropriate relief of the court.		
2	2. At the same time, Mr. Watson is seeking to research, understand, and apply		
3	sentencing factors that would pro	ovide him with more opportunities for a more	
4	favorable sentencing by the court, under the circumstances, if his request to		
5	withdraw his guilty plea is denied.		
6	3. Counsel for the Government has no objection to this continuance.		
7	4. The defendant is in custody, but does not object to a continuance.		
8	5. Denial of this request for continuance could result in a miscarriage of justice.		
9	6. For all the above-stated reasons, the ends of justice would best be served by a		
10	continuance of the sentencing.		
11	7. This is the second request for a c	7. This is the second request for a continuance.	
12	DATED: December 2, 2019		
13	LAW OFFICE OF TELIA U. WILLIAMS	NICHOLAS A. TRUTANICH UNITED STATES ATTORNEY	
14	By: /s/ Telia U. Williams	By: /s/ Robert Knief	
15	Telia U. Williams, Esq.	Robert Knief, Esq.	
16	10161 Park Run Drive, Suite 150 Las Vegas, Nevada 89145	Assistant United States Attorney 501 Las Vegas Boulevard South, Suite 1100 Las Vegas, Nevada 89106	
17	Tel.: (702) 835-6866 telia@telialaw.com	Tel.: (702) 388-6336	
18		Attorney for Plaintiff, United States	
19	Attorney for Defendant, Kary Watson	Onlied States	
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

1 2 3 4 5	NICHOLAS A. TRUTANICH United States Attorney Robert Knief Assistant United States Attorney 501 Las Vegas Boulevard South, Suite 1100 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Tel.: (702) 388-6336 Robert.Knief@usdoj.gov Attorney for the Plaintiff			
6 7 8 9 10 11	LAW OFFICE OF TELIA U. WILLIAMS Telia U. Williams, Esq. 10161 Park Run Drive, Suite 150 Las Vegas, Nevada 89145 Tel.: (702) 835-6866 telia@telialaw.com Attorney for the Defendant, Kary Watson			
	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
12	DISTRICT OF NEVADA			
13	DISTRICT	OF NEVADA		
14				
	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Case No. 2:17-cr-00124-JAD-EJY		
15		ORDER		
16	Plaintiff,	ORDER		
17	Vs.			
	V A DV W A TOON			
18	KARY WATSON,			
19	Defendant.			
20				
21	FINDINGS OF FACT			
22	Based on the Stipulation of counsel, and good cause appearing therefore, the court finds			
23	that the Stipulation by, between, and among the United States, and defendant Kary Watson, is			
24	entered into for the following reasons:			
25	1 77 10 1 22			
26	1. The defendant, Kary Watson,	is considering whether or not to seek to		
	withdraw his guilty plea, while he and his counsel are considering additional			
27	sentencing factors that may operate in his favor.			
28	2. Counsel for the Government has no objection to this continuance.			
	2. Counsel for the Government in	as no objection to this continuance.		

- 1		
1	3. The defendant is in custody but has no objection to this continuance.	
2	4. Denial of this request for continuance could result in a miscarriage of justice.	
3	5. For all the above-stated reasons, the ends of justice would best be served by a	
4	continuance of the sentencing hearing.	
5	6. This is the first request for a continuance.	
6		
7	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW	
8	Denial of this request for continuance would deny the defendant, Kary Watson, the	
9	opportunity to consider, with his counsel, the issues involving his potential decision to seek to	
10	withdraw his guilty plea, and/or to consider additional favorable sentencing factors for his	
11	sentencing.	
12	As such, denial of this request for continuance could result in a miscarriage of justice	
13		
14	<u>ORDER</u>	
15	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the sentencing currently scheduled for December 3, 2019	
16		
17	at 10:00am, be continued to February 10, 2020, at 10:00 a.m.	
18		
19	DATED this 3rd day of December, 2019.	
20	INITED CTATES DISTRICT HIDGE	
21	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		